

1/17

Fig.1





Fig.2



2/17

Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B






Fig. 4



3/17

Fig.5



400590 22999560

4/17

Fig.6





Fig.7



5/17

Fig.8





Fig.9



6/17

Fig.10




Fig.11




Fig.12







Fig.13

[illegible]

[illegible][illegible]

9/17

Fig.16A




Fig.16B




Fig.17





FIG. 16A


10/17

Fig.18



11/17

Fig.19



12/17

Fig.20






Fig.21




13/17

Fig.22A




SAMPLE 1

Fig.22B




SAMPLE 2

Fig.22C




SAMPLE 3

Fig.22D




SAMPLE 4

Fig.22E



SAMPLE 5

Fig.22F



SAMPLE 6

098667-05301

14/17

Fig.23




Fig.24A





Fig.24B



15/17

Fig.25A




Fig.25B




Fig.26A




Fig.26B




Fig. 27A




Fig.27B




Fig.28A




Fig.28B




Figure 6. The effect of the number of iterations on the accuracy of the proposed algorithm. The figure shows two plots side-by-side. The left plot shows the accuracy of the proposed algorithm (in %) versus the number of iterations (from 0 to 100). The right plot shows the accuracy of the proposed algorithm (in %) versus the number of iterations (from 0 to 100).

17/17

Fig.29

